

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
9 **FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
10

11 ROSENDO ROBERT RAMIREZ,

No. CIV S-11-1029-CMK-P

12 Plaintiff,

13 vs.

ORDER

14 JILL A. EGGLESTON,

15 Defendant.  
16 \_\_\_\_\_/

17 Plaintiff, a federal prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this civil rights action  
18 pursuant to Bivens v. Six Unknown Federal Narcotics Agents, 403 U.S. 388 (1971). For cases  
19 such as this, which are based on federal question jurisdiction, the federal venue statute requires  
20 that the action be brought only in “(1) a judicial district where any defendant resides, if all  
21 defendants reside in the same State, (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events  
22 or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of property that is the subject  
23 of the action is situated, or (3) a judicial district in which any defendant may be found, if there is  
24 no district in which the action may otherwise be brought.” 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b). Here, the  
25 claim(s) arose in Eloy, Arizona, which is within the boundaries of the United States District  
26 Court for the District of Arizona. Therefore, the court finds that this action most appropriately

1 proceeds in that district. In the interest of justice, the court will transfer this case. See 28 U.S.C.  
2 § 1406(a).

3 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this matter is transferred to the  
4 United States District Court for the District of Arizona.

5  
6 DATED: June 15, 2011

7   
8 **CRAIG M. KELLISON**  
9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26